

Claim 21, line 8: after "to be used", the following language has been inserted: -- ;
wherein multical refers to two or more independent and simultaneous calls to the subscriber
terminal --.

Claim 23, line 6: after "criterion," "either" has been deleted.

Claim 23, line 7: "(i) setting up said new call on a new bearer, or" has been deleted.

Claim 23, line 8: "(ii)" has been deleted.

Claim 23, line 16: after "bearer", the following language has been inserted: -- ; wherein
multical refers to two or more independent and simultaneous calls to the subscriber terminal --.

Claim 26, line 5: after "criterion," "either" has been deleted.

Claim 26, line 6: "(i) setting up said new call on a new bearer, or" has been deleted.

Claim 26, line 7: "(ii)" has been deleted.

Claim 26, line 10: after "bearer", the following language has been inserted: -- ; wherein
multical refers to two or more independent and simultaneous calls to the subscriber terminal --.

VW
10/15/07
Claim 27, line ^{6,}~~10~~^{first occurrence}: after "bearer", the following language has been inserted: -- ; wherein
multical refers to two or more independent and simultaneous calls to the subscriber terminal --.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 1, 4, 12, 15, 18, 21, 26, 27, the cited prior arts, either alone or in
combination, fail to teach setting up any new call in an existing multical over a transmission
path between a telecommunications network and a subscriber terminal, when a criterion is met,
by setting up said new call on an existing bearer such that said existing bearer is shared by at
least two calls of said multical of said subscriber terminal, instead of by setting up said new call